

From: Sharpe Paul [Paul.Sharpe@nprsr.qld.gov.au]
Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 4:03 PM
To: Dargusch Marc; Hughes Simon
CC: McCarthy Damian
Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

Due By: Monday, 5 December 8907 6:42 PM

Marc,

Yep the 1 year renewals were agreed to, but with hindsight we should have gone with 3 year renewals.

The cancellation of Permits and then the issue of new Agreements at any time, was never really an issue, as long as we account for permit fees paid, and refund or credit that.

Re the Permit versus Agreement decision; the matter of what is a TIPA activity / TIPA site is again relevant, horse and camel riding on a beach at Cooloola is, for mine, the same as quad biking on an existing track on Moreton Island, that is: it is neither a TIPA activity, nor at TIPA site, and so a renewal, swap to Agreement (of any term), transfer via surrender / reissue, or even granting of a new Permit should not be an issue. BUT ...

Recent attempts to document the rules around TIPA transfers and new Permits have failed.

I think we now have to also consider if the applicant 'has previously applied, and previously we knocked them back, then they don't get a permit, if not, we may be able to issue a new one??

Agree Marc...we really need a clear 'shared view' of how we intend dealing with the above issues pre-TIPA.

There is plenty of confusion in [central office](#) over this issue.

I don't have the answers.

PS.

From: Dargusch Marc
Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 2:43 PM
To: Sharpe Paul; Hughes Simon
Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

G'day Sime and Paul

Has our stance changed on dealing with renewals on TIPA sites ? It was only last year that there was debate about how long we would renew permits for - I believe some FI operators were only extended by one year. The debate also related to Cooloola/Moreton and I thought we agreed that we might look to extending for 3 years as TIPA timing was unclear. We were definitely not looking at transitioning to CAAs pre-TIPA and definitely not looking at extending the duration of either their permits or CAAs to something greater than 3 years.

We need to get our heads together as this is closely related to bedding down issues surrounding the release of capacity in TIPA areas - another area where we seem to be changing our stance.

We really need a clear 'shared view' of how we intend dealing with the above issues pre-TIPA. There is plenty of confusion in the Regions over this issue.

Keen to talk.

Regards - Marc

Marc Dargusch

A/Manager

Commercial & Recreation Development Unit
Commercial and Visitor Services Branch

Queensland Parks & Wildlife Service

Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

sch4 - Personal information - mobile

marc.dargusch@nprsr.qld.gov.au

From: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)

Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 12:00 PM

To: Hughes Simon

Cc: Sharpe Paul; Dargusch Marc

Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

Hi Simon / Paul

I have no objections to renewing these permits for three years and while philosophically I support the notion of issuing a commercial activity agreement I am leaning towards deferring the support of such at this point in time. Yes, this may be somewhat differing from recent conversations.

I understand Cooloola was identified as a TIPA site and as such issuing of an agreement may be jumping the gun with regards to determining sustainable use of the area and appropriate fees. Even if we do not run a full TIPA process the renewing of a permit will buy some time to allow for consideration of these matters. However if we issue an agreement I believe we will be locked into issuing agreements for future requests even before we know where we want to be in the future.

I strongly believe considering recent event that Mr Watson and Mr Madden (both have approached us with regards to longer security) are offered the same arrangements however it does raise a question of the current application before us where the operator is planning to operate out of the Noosa North Shore Retreat. All being equal should we be offering them an

agreement also?

Renewing existing permits for three years will essentially bring existing operators in line and will also allow time for some TIPA or similar consideration of this activity to take place. The reality is that we would not be in a position to offer a greatly extended agreement anyway due to some of the land dealings and while this in itself does not influence my overall consideration these matters will influence the length of any agreement being issued at least in the short term.

I will organise to talk to Madden and Watson with regards to reissuing their permits for three years.

Cheers

Stephen Price

Operations Manager

Sunshine Coast Burnett

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service

Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

Ph:07 54596134 ext. Personal information - mobile

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

Stephen.Price@npsr.qld.gov.au

From: Hughes Simon
Sent: Friday, 8 March 2013 2:46 PM
To: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Cc: Sharpe Paul
Subject: FW: Camels to discuss

FYI – We don't have a problem with this at all

Simon Hughes

Team Leader

Southern Permits Team

Commercial and Visitor Services

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service

Ph: 3330 5243

From: Sharpe Paul
Sent: Friday, 8 March 2013 1:32 PM
To: Hughes Simon
Subject: Camels to discuss

Simon, any reason why, with the Regions concurrence, we would not issue a new Permit for 3 years, or dare I say and Agreement for longer??

<< File: 1362713266525_View.pdf >>

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

From: Dargusch Marc [Marc.Dargusch@nprsr.qld.gov.au]
Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 4:24 PM
To: Sharpe Paul; Hughes Simon; McCarthy Damian
Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

Understood Paul - very keen to pull together the 'knowns' from current thinking across CRD/TVA. I reckon you might just have some of the answers - or between us all we can make them up ! Maybe we could then communicate our best view to the regions.

Has Anne got an appetite to sort through these issues ?

I'm in Bris for Tue/Wed/Thur each week for the next four weeks - lookin' forward to working with you blokes.

M

From: Sharpe Paul
Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 4:03 PM
To: Dargusch Marc; Hughes Simon
Cc: McCarthy Damian
Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

Marc,

Yep the 1 year renewals were agreed to, but with hindsight we should have gone with 3 year renewals.

The cancellation of Permits and then the issue of new Agreements at any time, was never really an issue, as long as we account for permit fees paid, and refund or credit that.

Re the Permit versus Agreement decision; the matter of what is a TIPA activity / TIPA site is again relevant, horse and camel riding on a beach at Cooloola is, for mine, the same as quad biking on an existing track on Moreton Island, that is: it is neither a TIPA activity, nor at TIPA site, and so a renewal, swap to Agreement (of any term), transfer via surrender / reissue, or even granting of a new Permit should not be an issue. BUT ...

Recent attempts to document the rules around TIPA transfers and new Permits have failed.

I think we now have to also consider if the applicant 'has previously applied, and previously we knocked them back, then they don't get a permit, if not, we may be able to issue a new one??

Agree Marc...we really need a clear 'shared view' of how we intend dealing with the above issues pre-TIPA.
There is plenty of confusion in central office over this issue.

I don't have the answers.
PS.

From: Dargusch Marc
Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 2:43 PM
To: Sharpe Paul; Hughes Simon
Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

G'day Sime and Paul

Has our stance changed on dealing with renewals on TIPA sites ? It was only last year that there was debate about how long we would renew permits for - I believe some FI operators were only extended by one year. The debate also related to Cooloola/Moreton and I thought we agreed that we might look to extending for 3 years as TIPA timing was unclear. We were definitely not looking at transitioning to CAAs pre-TIPA and definitely not looking at extending the duration of either their permits or CAAs to something greater than 3 years.

We need to get our heads together as this is closely related to bedding down issues surrounding the release of capacity in TIPA areas - another area where we seem to be changing our stance.

We really need a clear 'shared view' of how we intend dealing with the above issues pre-TIPA. There is plenty of confusion in the Regions over this issue.

Keen to talk.

Regards - Marc

Marc Dargusch

A/Manager

Commercial & Recreation Development Unit

Commercial and Visitor Services Branch

Queensland Parks & Wildlife Service

Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

sch4 - Personal information - mobile

marc.dargusch@nprsr.qld.gov.au

From: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)

Sent: Monday, 11 March 2013 12:00 PM

To: Hughes Simon

Cc: Sharpe Paul; Dargusch Marc

Subject: RE: Camels to discuss

Hi Simon / Paul

I have no objections to renewing these permits for three years and while philosophically I support the notion of issuing a commercial activity agreement I am leaning towards deferring the support of such at this point in time. Yes, this may be somewhat differing from recent conversations.

I understand Cooloola was identified as a TIPA site and as such issuing of an agreement may

be jumping the gun with regards to determining sustainable use of the area and appropriate fees. Even if we do not run a full TIPA process the renewing of a permit will buy some time to allow for consideration of these matters. However if we issue an agreement I believe we will be locked into issuing agreements for future requests even before we know where we want to be in the future.

I strongly believe considering recent event that Mr Watson and Mr Madden (both have approached us with regards to longer security) are offered the same arrangements however it does raise a question of the current application before us where the operator is planning to operate out of the Noosa North Shore Retreat. All being equal should we be offering them an agreement also?

Renewing existing permits for three years will essentially bring existing operators in line and will also allow time for some TIPA or similar consideration of this activity to take place. The reality is that we would not be in a position to offer a greatly extended agreement anyway due to some of the land dealings and while this in itself does not influence my overall consideration these matters will influence the length of any agreement being issued at least in the short term.

I will organise to talk to Madden and Watson with regards to reissuing their permits for three years.

Cheers

Stephen Price
Operations Manager
Sunshine Coast Burnett
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing
Ph:07 54596131 sch4 - Personal information - mobile

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

Stephen.Price@nprsr.qld.gov.au

From: Hughes Simon
Sent: Friday, 8 March 2013 2:46 PM
To: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Cc: Sharpe Paul
Subject: FW: Camels to discuss

FYI – We don't have a problem with this at all

Simon Hughes

Team Leader

Southern Permits Team

Commercial and Visitor Services

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service

Ph: 3330 5243

Mo: Personal information - mobile

From: Sharpe Paul

Sent: Friday, 8 March 2013 1:32 PM

To: Hughes Simon

Subject: Camels to discuss

Simon, any reason why, with the Regions concurrence, we would not issue a new Permit for 3 years, or dare I say and Agreement for longer??

<< File: 1362713266525_View.pdf >>

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

From: Sharpe Paul [Paul.Sharpe@nprsr.qld.gov.au]
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:55 PM
To: Dargusch Marc
CC: McCarthy Damian
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Due By: Monday, 5 December 8907 6:42 PM

Marc, yes by all means. I keep coming back to the point that we must have some rules / principles in place on which to base these decisions. I will revisit the transfers / new permits Policy when I can.

Cheers, PS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dargusch Marc
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:50 PM
To: Sharpe Paul
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Deeper and wider. If you're ok with it I might try and sit down with Sime to see if we can provide some clarity around the decision process (something that can be communicated to Regions also). Understand it won't be policy but..... hopefully we can add clarity to provide for a consistent approach. The TIPA fairy could wave her wand and fix all this :)

M

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharpe Paul
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:33 PM
To: Dargusch Marc
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Marc, I don't know; Anne saying no, Simon saying yes.

This is a new activity in a TIPA area (and not historic use by this operator?).

Note we are simultaneously renewing Maddens horse / camel riding CAP, and incorporating new (exclusive?) rights for him to operate out of a staging area on our estate. Is that granting capacity at a new site?

The hole is getting deeper.

PS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dargusch Marc
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:06 PM
To: Sharpe Paul
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Too true. Where to from here ?

M

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharpe Paul

Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 9:30 AM
To: Dargusch Marc
Subject: FW: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mark, FYI.
What a mess.
PS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hughes Simon
Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 3:14 PM
To: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Cc: Sharpe Paul; Greentree Anne
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mick/Steve

I agree

The capacity of Commercial Riding activities are not an issue under TIPA and it is unlikely that they would be specifically prescribed. We should assess on impact and impact on the opportunity of other commercial users who we have been considering negotiating Agreements with. Also we have been actively facilitating exclusive use by two operators.

The proposed route is on existing identified horse trail network.

I think we should take a risk based approach to this decision.

Cheers
Simon

Simon Hughes
Team Leader
Southern Permits Team
Commercial and Visitor Services
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Ph: 3330 5243

sch [redacted] - mobile

RTI DL RELEASE - TIPS SR

-----Original Message-----

From: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 2:52 PM
To: Cubis Mick; Hughes Simon
Subject: FW: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mick

This advice differs from that received verbally from Simon Hughes who has indicated no reasons why we should refuse on TIPA grounds.

I believe it would be appropriate to seek clarification on the TIPA matter for this particular location before we finalise.

I believe it would be difficult to justify declining on this basis however I am not up to speed on the history of TIPA and its particular implications. I understand there have been no refusals for this type of activity (riding) on Noosa North Shore previously. If so it would be reasonable to suggest there would be no previous disadvantage of approving this one.

Steve

Stephen Price
Area Manager
Sunshine and Fraser Coast
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

Ph:07 54596130 [redacted] - mobile

-----Original Message-----

From: Seeleither Jamie
Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 12:24 PM
To: Cubis Mick; Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Subject: FW: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mick/ Steve

A commercial Agreement assessment has been carried out for Lake Cooroibah Pty Ltd trading as Noosa North Shore Retreat proposal to carry out horse tours.

In line with the current information from Anne G. that our pre TIPA stance remains the same as previous- Gennieve has indicated that the permit application should be refused. The main reason for the refusal is the "No new operators prior to TIPA". This may not please the applicant but will please other pre existing operators who have been enabled recently.

I haven't yet received a response from the email I sent the last week regarding clarification/ reinforcement of the Region's maintenance of the QPWS pre TIPA stance but possibly now require more urgent clarification in relation to this assessment.

I would appreciate some discussion/ clarification.

Regards

Jamie Seeleither

Senior Ranger - Visitor Management

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service

Sunshine and Fraser Coast Region

Ph: 07-5459 6130

sch4 [redacted] - mobile

E: Jamie.Seeleither@nprsr.qld.gov.au

-----Original Message-----

From: Turner Gennieve
13-355

Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 10:03 AM
To: Seeleither Jamie
Cc: Turner Gennieve
Subject: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Jamie

Please find attached assessment report for permit to conduct commercial activity/ies on QPWS managed area/s submitted by Lake Cooroibah Pty Ltd, trading as Noosa North Shore Retreat to conduct commercial horse riding activities.

The applicant has applied to conduct activities for the following sites and routes; Beach Rd, Maximillian Rd, the Beach between high water & low water, existing designated horse trails through the National Park & SCRC reserves.

The attached assessment has been conducted over QPWS managed areas within the Cooloola Recreation Area only. There are no designated horse trails through the National Park. The applicant is responsible for obtaining and complying with all necessary authorisations to conduct commercial horse riding activities on other lands as required by all Queensland and Commonwealth Government Departments, local authorities and other statutory authorities.

Please note: A Memorandum of Understanding between the then Department of Environment and Resource Management, Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service and the Sunshine Coast Regional Council for the collaborative management of the Noosa north Shore area, as part of the Cooloola Recreation Area requires QPWS to liaise with the SCRC when assessing permitting commercial and group activities associated with the Noosa North Shore area. Refer attached MOU Section 2.2.9 Management of commercial and group activities.

At this stage I have advised the SCRC that QPWS is in receipt of an application from Lake Cooroibah P/L to conduct commercial horse riding on the NNS and that we are currently conducting an assessment for that part of the activity that occurs on QPWS managed lands only. The SCRC advised that they had no knowledge of an application been submitted to council - at that time.

For your consideration.

Regards Gennieve

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

From: Sharpe Paul [Paul.Sharpe@nprsr.qld.gov.au]
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:55 PM
To: Dargusch Marc
CC: McCarthy Damian
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Due By: Monday, 5 December 8907 6:42 PM

Marc, yes by all means. I keep coming back to the point that we must have some rules / principles in place on which to base these decisions. I will revisit the transfers / new permits Policy when I can.

Cheers, PS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dargusch Marc
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:50 PM
To: Sharpe Paul
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Deeper and wider. If you're ok with it I might try and sit down with Sime to see if we can provide some clarity around the decision process (something that can be communicated to Regions also). Understand it won't be policy but..... hopefully we can add clarity to provide for a consistent approach. The TIPA fairy could wave her wand and fix all this :)

M

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharpe Paul
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:33 PM
To: Dargusch Marc
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Marc, I don't know; Anne saying no, Simon saying yes.

This is a new activity in a TIPA area (and not historic use by this operator?).

Note we are simultaneously renewing Maddens horse / camel riding CAP, and incorporating new (exclusive?) rights for him to operate out of a staging area on our estate. Is that granting capacity at a new site?

The hole is getting deeper.

PS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dargusch Marc
Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 1:06 PM
To: Sharpe Paul
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Too true. Where to from here ?

M

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharpe Paul

13-355

File B

13 of 19

Sent: Monday, 18 March 2013 9:30 AM
To: Dargusch Marc
Subject: FW: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mark, FYI.
What a mess.
PS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hughes Simon
Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 3:14 PM
To: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Cc: Sharpe Paul; Greentree Anne
Subject: RE: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mick/Steve

I agree

The capacity of Commercial Riding activities are not an issue under TIPA and it is unlikely that they would be specifically prescribed. We should assess on impact and impact on the opportunity of other commercial users who we have been considering negotiating Agreements with. Also we have been actively facilitating exclusive use by two operators.

The proposed route is on existing identified horse trail network.

I think we should take a risk based approach to this decision.

Cheers
Simon

Simon Hughes
Team Leader
Southern Permits Team
Commercial and Visitor Services
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Ph: 3330 5243

sch4 [Personal information] - mobile

RTI DL RELEASE - TIPS SR

-----Original Message-----

From: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 2:52 PM
To: Cubis Mick; Hughes Simon
Subject: FW: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mick

This advice differs from that received verbally from Simon Hughes who has indicated no reasons why we should refuse on TIPA grounds.

I believe it would be appropriate to seek clarification on the TIPA matter for this particular location before we finalise.

I believe it would be difficult to justify declining on this basis however I am not up to speed on the history of TIPA and its particular implications. I understand there have been no refusals for this type of activity (riding) on Noosa North Shore previously. If so it would be reasonable to suggest there would be no previous disadvantage of approving this one.

Steve

Stephen Price
Area Manager
Sunshine and Fraser Coast
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

Ph: 07 54596131, Mob: [redacted] - mobile

-----Original Message-----

From: Seeleither Jamie
Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 12:24 PM
To: Cubis Mick; Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Subject: FW: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Mick/ Steve

A commercial Agreement assessment has been carried out for Lake Cooroibah Pty Ltd trading as Noosa North Shore Retreat proposal to carry out horse tours.

In line with the current information from Anne G. that our pre TIPA stance remains the same as previous- Gennieve has indicated that the permit application should be refused. The main reason for the refusal is the "No new operators prior to TIPA". This may not please the applicant but will please other pre existing operators who have been enabled recently.

I haven't yet received a response from the email I sent the last week regarding clarification/ reinforcement of the Region's maintenance of the QPWS pre TIPA stance but possibly now require more urgent clarification in relation to this assessment.

I would appreciate some discussion/ clarification.

Regards

Jamie Seeleither

Senior Ranger - Visitor Management

Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service

Sunshine and Fraser Coast Region

Ph: 07-5459 6130

[redacted] - mobile

E: Jamie.Seeleither@nprsr.qld.gov.au

-----Original Message-----

From: Turner Gennieve
13-355

Sent: Friday, 15 March 2013 10:03 AM
To: Seeleither Jamie
Cc: Turner Gennieve
Subject: Emailing: Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc
Importance: High

Jamie

Please find attached assessment report for permit to conduct commercial activity/ies on QPWS managed area/s submitted by Lake Cooroibah Pty Ltd, trading as Noosa North Shore Retreat to conduct commercial horse riding activities.

The applicant has applied to conduct activities for the following sites and routes; Beach Rd, Maximillian Rd, the Beach between high water & low water, existing designated horse trails through the National Park & SCRC reserves.

The attached assessment has been conducted over QPWS managed areas within the Cooloola Recreation Area only. There are no designated horse trails through the National Park. The applicant is responsible for obtaining and complying with all necessary authorisations to conduct commercial horse riding activities on other lands as required by all Queensland and Commonwealth Government Departments, local authorities and other statutory authorities.

Please note: A Memorandum of Understanding between the then Department of Environment and Resource Management, Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service and the Sunshine Coast Regional Council for the collaborative management of the Noosa north Shore area, as part of the Cooloola Recreation Area requires QPWS to liaise with the SCRC when assessing permitting commercial and group activities associated with the Noosa North Shore area. Refer attached MOU Section 2.2.9 Management of commercial and group activities.

At this stage I have advised the SCRC that QPWS is in receipt of an application from Lake Cooroibah P/L to conduct commercial horse riding on the NNS and that we are currently conducting an assessment for that part of the activity that occurs on QPWS managed lands only. The SCRC advised that they had no knowledge of an application been submitted to council - at that time.

For your consideration.

Regards Gennieve

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Noosa North Shore_CA Assess Report RAM 140313 (a).doc

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

From: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture) [Stephen.Price@nprsr.qld.gov.au]
Sent: Thursday, 4 April 2013 1:01 PM
To: Bakhach Omar; Turner Gennieve
CC: McCarthy Damian
Subject: FW: Request for renewal of three year permit
Attachments: commercial-activity-permit-application-form.doc

Omar / Gennieve

This matter is for permits to progress and one for Simon to follow up on sch4 - Personal information

Cheers

Stephen Price
Area Manager
Sunshine and Fraser Coast
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

Ph:07 54596131 sch4 - Personal information - mobile

-----Original Message-----

From: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Sent: Thursday, 4 April 2013 12:59 PM
To: 'Camel Company of Australia'
Subject: Request for renewal of three year permit

Hi Dave

Following receipt of your letter from Lisa Myers and as a follow up to our phone conversation this morning I thought I would confirm the process for renewal of your permits for three years now rather than waiting for the permits to be renewed in December when they are due to expire.

sch4 - Personal information have decided to follow up 78B(2) - Privacy as permitting matters are not processed in the regions.

I checked with permits this morning and can confirm that amendments to your existing permits to accommodate the use of the resource reserve are currently being finalised in town.

There appears to have been some miss understanding with regards to the process required to renew your permit for the additional three years, including that discussed at our recent meeting at Tewantin where it was understood that the changes could be made without application. As outlined in the letter received from Lisa Myers and confirmed this morning with the permits unit the request for a renewal of your permits for three years will require the submission of an application. In essence this is the same process as that would be required later in the year around October / November just prior to your existing permits expiring in December. This would necessitate the need for the existing permits to be surrendered and would include relevant fees associated with the renewal of the permits to be made. Simon will need to confirm the details of this. I understand that as long as there are no requested changes to you permits (bearing in mind that the recent changes for the use of the resource reserve will be approved changes to your existing permit) that the renewal process is quicker than that would normally be required for the full blown assessment of a new application.

I will get Simon to contact you 78B(2) - Privacy however have attached the relevant application forms for your use in the mean time.

Kind Regards

Stephen Price
Area Manager
Sunshine and Fraser Coast
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Department of National Parks, Recreation, Sports and Racing

Ph:07 54596133 Mob Personal information - mobile

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

From: McCarthy Damian [Damian.McCarthy@npsr.qld.gov.au]

Sent: Friday, 5 April 2013 8:43 AM

To: Powley Alicia

Subject: FW: Dave Madden permits

Attachments: TVCA02986410 Noosa Horse Riding CAP.pdf; TVP507078913 Camel Company CAP.pdf

Damian McCarthy
Senior Project Officer
Commercial and Visitor Services
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
Department of National Parks, Recreation,
Sport and Racing
Ph: (07) 3330 5236

From: Black Libby
Sent: Thursday, 4 April 2013 3:27 PM
To: Price Stephen (Cotton Tree - Caboolture)
Cc: McCarthy Damian
Subject: Dave Madden permits

Hi Steve,

Please see attached permits for you review. I'll send these out as soon as I get the OK from you.

Cheers,

Libby Black
Project Support Officer
Commercial and Visitor Services
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Services

Level 4, 400 George Street, Brisbane
PO Box 15187, City East QLD 4002
Ph: (07) 3330 5195 Fax: (07) 3330 5277

RTTDL RELEASE - NPSR