

From: MULLENS Andrew [Andrew.Mullens@ehp.qld.gov.au]
Sent: Tuesday, 4 August 2015 5:28 PM
To: EGAN Sally
Subject: sacntuary cove permit

Dear Sally

I've looked at recently sanctuary cove permit material. I recall at the time of approval reviewed the culling and fertility section of the MMP.

At the time I was satisfied that the proposed method of culling would minimise suffering to the animals involved, and was not likely to cause unnecessary suffering . While any technique involves risks of suffering I believed the use of a commonly used veterinary anaesthetic was appropriate, particularly in the context of the typical (and accepted) shooting methods for lethal take of macropods using rifles, and the risks of suffering associated with that technique.

I also reviewed the fertility management aspects and thought that given that animals would be tranquilised, and the procedure would be conducted by consulting vets that the process would not involve unnecessary suffering.

I was satisfied that sanctuary cove had used a number of non-lethal methods to reduce numbers, and to avoid harm, and that these were no longer working in a satisfactory manner. I was also satisfied that the proposed methods had been designed to minimise suffering.

I did not review the translocation material, as the DMP only related to the culling and fertility management aspects.

Regards

Andrew Mullens
Manager,
Business Reform Unit, Conservation and Sustainability Policy
Department of Environment and Heritage Protection
Floor 5, 400 George Street
GPO Box 2454
BRISBANE Qld 4001
Phone 07 3330 6172
Fax 07 3330 5515
www.ehp.qld.gov.au
Please consider the environment before printing this email
ehp-email-signature

