Invasive plant risk assessment

Aleman grass

Echinochloa polystachya

Martin Hannan-Jones and Jason Weber First published 2008 Updated 2016



© State of Queensland, 2016.

The Queensland Government supports and encourages the dissemination and exchange of its information. The copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia (CC BY) licence.



You must keep intact the copyright notice and attribute the State of Queensland as the source of the publication.

Note: Some content in this publication may have different licence terms as indicated.

For more information on this licence visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/3.o/au/deed.en" http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.o/au/deed.en

Contents

| Identity and taxonomy | 4 |
|---|----|
| Таха | 4 |
| Subordinate taxa | 4 |
| Synonyms | 4 |
| Common names | 4 |
| Taxonomy and genetics | 4 |
| Description | 5 |
| Reproduction and dispersal | 5 |
| Phenology and seed production | 6 |
| Seed longevity | 7 |
| Origin | 7 |
| History of introduction | 7 |
| Worldwide distribution | 8 |
| Distribution in Australia | 9 |
| Preferred habitat and climate | 9 |
| Soil requirements | 10 |
| Moisture | 10 |
| Temperature | 10 |
| Light | 10 |
| History as a weed overseas and interstate | 11 |
| Impact | 12 |
| Economic benefits | 13 |
| Animal production | 16 |
| Alternative pasture species | 18 |
| Pest potential in Queensland | 19 |
| Establishment risk assessment | 20 |
| Appendix 1. CLIMEX parameters used to prepare Figure 4. | 24 |
| References | 25 |

Identity and taxonomy

Taxa

Echinochloa polystachya (Kunth) Hitch.

Subordinate taxa

Echinochloa polystachya (Kunth) Hitch. var. spectabilis (Nees ex Trin.) Mart. Crov.

Synonyms

Echinochloa spectabilis (Nees ex Trin.) Panicum spectabile Nees ex Trin. Panicum bonplandianum Steud Oplismenus polystachyus Kunth. Echinochloa praestans PW Michael

Common names

Aleman grass, German grass, carib grass (Australia, Panama), river grass, Yerbia de rio, Zacate aleman (Spanish), corzo grass (Cuba), creeping river grass (North America), pasto alemin, pasto chiguirera, paja pelu (Venezuela); pardegrao, prasi-grasi (Surinam); capim-mandante (Brazil), African wonder cockspur; mudflat-millet, Habetz grass, perennial barnyard grass, water Bermuda (AFRIS 2006; Gri n 2006; Judd 1975; Medeiros et al. 2003; Shepherd *et al.* 2001; Cook *et al.* 2006; Csurhes & Edwards 1998).

Taxonomy and genetics

| Kingdom | <i>Plantae</i> —plants |
|---------------|---|
| Subkingdom | <i>Tracheobionta</i> —vascular plants |
| Superdivision | Spermatophyta—seed plants |
| Division | Magnoliophyta—flowering plants |
| Class | Liliopsida—monocotyledons |
| Subclass | Commelinidae |
| Order | Cyperales |
| Family | <i>Poaceae</i> —grass family (alt. Gramineae) |
| Sub-family | Panicoideae |
| Tribe | Paniceae |
| Genus | <i>Echinochloa</i> Beauv.—cockspur grass |
| Species | <i>Echinochloa polystachya</i> —Aleman grass |

Description

Aleman grass is an aquatic or semi-aquatic perennial with course culms 1–2.5 m high, thick in the lower parts, from long rhizomes, internodes glabrous, nodes glabrous or obscurely pubescent (see cover photo). Ligule a rim of stiff, yellow hairs to 4 mm long. Leaf blades 20–60 cm long 10–25 mm wide, scabrous on the margin. Panicles mostly 15–25 mm long, dense, the short, thick branches ascending. Spikelets 5–7 mm long, lanceolate. Upper floret hermaphrodite, 5–6 mm long, with awn 5–7 mm long, or mucronate; lower floret staminate with awn on lemma 7–17 mm long. (Cook *et al.* 2006; Wildin 1988).

The cultivar 'Amity' commonly planted in Queensland differs from the species norm in having flowering culms 100–200 cm long, 7–10-noded, nodes glabrous. Ligule hairs 1–1.5 mm long. Leaf blades 30–36 cm long, 10–12 mm wide. Panicle axis 20–30 cm long. Spikelets 4.5–5.5 mm long, 1.7–2.0 mm wide. The most distinctive differences are the much shorter mucros of the lemmas of 'Amity'; the lemmas of Aleman grass are normally awned rather than mucronate (Cook et al. 2006; Wildin 1988).

'Amity' has flat linear, glabrous leaves, tapering to a narrow apex, rounded or auriculate at the base. Panicle axis scabrous; primary branches with spikelets appressed to the rachis, 2.5–9.0 cm long. Pedicels 0.2–2.0 mm long, scabrous, disarticulation at the base of the spikelet. Spikelets plano-convex, lanceolate. Lower glume 2.5–3.0 mm long, ovatelanceolate. Upper glume 4.5–5.5 mm long, lanceolate 6–7-nerved, chartaceous, glabrous, strigose on the nerves apically, acuminate, 5.0–5.5 mm long. Lemma of lower floret $5.0-5.5 \text{ mm} \times 1.0-1.5 \text{ mm}$, lanceolate, chartaceous, 7–9-nerved, the surface glabrous, acuminate, with mucro 1.0-1.5 mm long; lower palea linear, acute. Upper floret lemma 4.5 mm long, white, cartilaginous, smooth, ovate-lanceolate, acuminate, with mucro 0.5 mm long; upper palea cartilaginous, smooth, enclosed at its apex by the lemma. (Cook *et al.* 2006; Wildin 1988).

Reproduction and dispersal

In its native range in Brazil, the majority of seed is destroyed by the larvae of an insect from the family Cecidomyidae (Junk 1970). The remainder is eaten by different bird species of the family Anatidae (Junk 1970). Junk (1970) reported that even though laboratory investigations showed that the seeds could germinate in its native habitat, vegetative reproduction was predominant. Although few seeds are produced by weedy Aleman grass in Louisiana, laboratory tests show that germinability is high (70%) and seedlings have good vigour (Bottoms et al. 2006). In Texas, Aleman can have seed yields of 98 lbs/acre (110kg/ha) and averages 495 000 seeds/lb (1 090 000 seeds/kg) (Kadin 2001). Kadin (2001) states that poor seed fill and poor seed retention can be a problem. Reproduction by seed in Queensland is claimed not to occur. No viable seed production has been recorded in Central Queensland (Wildin 1988). However, germination studies in Texas showed that seed harvested from plants grown partly submerged in a wetland trough have significantly better germination (41%) than seed harvested from an irrigated field planting (0%). There were also effects between year harvested and method of seed storage, wet versus dry (Lloyd-Reilley 2002). Further studies will need to be conducted to determine that optimum inundation and drawdown periods that stimulate seed production in Aleman grass.

On a district scale, dispersal occurs when pieces of stems and their roots are broken and carried by floodwaters. After Aleman grass invades a new location it spreads by the growth of decumbent stolons. After establishment occurs shoots grow from the nodes. On a wider scale, Aleman grass is dispersed through the intentional actions of graziers, such as field trials, farmers giving each other samples and occasionally as an ornamental (Darke & Griffiths 1992).

Aleman is normally planted from stem or stolon cuttings (1-2 t/ha) at spacings of 1-4 m between rows and plants within the rows. Stem sections with 2-3 nodes are planted with 1 node above the surface. They can be planted manually into wet mud or dropped into the surface of shallow water and pushed into the soil by special wheels on a lightweight tractor. Establishment is most successful where competition from existing vegetation has been removed (Cook *et al.* 2006).

Wood et al. (1996) compared the primary and secondary establishment of Aleman grass into an established waterlogging tolerant pasture (*Cynodon dactylon* and *Paspalum paspaloides*) and bare soil in a new ponded pasture on Daly Creek near Yeppoon. Establishment was measured after four and nine months. Root competition did not influence Aleman grass productivity until the secondary establishment stage (Table 1). Ramet formation was greater in number (P = 0.003) and in average dry weight (P = 0.004) in the absence of competition. Ramets altered the source-sink relationship, with the average ramet dry weight greater than the parent and a 60% reduction in the survival of primary tillers in the pasture (20% reduction in the bare soil).

| | Primary establis | hment 2.4.1995 | Secondary establishment 25.9.1995 | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Pasture status | No secondary tillers | Primary tiller length (cm) | No ramets per parent | Dry wright parent (g) | Ave. dry wt. ramet (g) | |
| Bare soil | 2.6 (1.7) | 29.9 *18.5) | 1.8 (1.1) | 16.2 (10.2) | 32.9 (72.6) | |
| Pasture | 2.7 (1.2) | 23.9 (18.0) | 6.0 (3.7) | 30.7 (13.3) | 71.1 (23.6) | |

Table 1. Comparison of Aleman grass productivity at the primary and secondary growth stages planted in bare soil and in resident pasture (standard deviation in brackets) (from Wood *et al.* 1996).

Phenology and seed production

The lifecycle of Aleman grass in Lago Camaleão, and elsewhere in the Amazon floodplains, is regulated by the annual oscillation in water level. When the water level drops exposing the sediment surface in October, new shoots form at the nodes of old stems, and root in the sediment. The old stems die and rot away so that each of the new shoots becomes an individual plant. Each new plant normally remains as a single unbranched shoot. A single cohort of new plants is produced annually at low water, with little overlap between generations. The stands are perpetuated by vegetative reproduction. As the water level rises to cover the sediment in late November or December, the stems grow upwards, keeping pace with the steady rise in water level. As each node becomes submerged its leaf dies and adventitious roots form. In the white-water rivers that feed the floodplains bearing Aleman grass, inorganic phosphorous and nitrogen concentrations range from 62–100 mg m⁻³ and from 140–211 mg m⁻³, respectively (Piedade *et al.* 1992). The flow of such nutrient-rich water over the adventitious roots of Aleman grass, in effect, provides a natural flowing hydroponic system, which continuously delivers nutrients to the roots of growing plants. New leaves are formed at the top of the stems with sufficient rapidity to maintain a dense canopy.

As the water level drops, the stems become increasingly exposed and bent, eventually collapsing onto the re-exposed sediment surface in October (Piedade *et al.* 1991; Piedade *et al.* 1997).

When there is no sediment exposure, the old stems can survive until another dry time, but the productivity of the following cycle will be lower (Pompêo *et al.* 2001).

Seed longevity

Neither the longevity of Aleman grass seed nor the persistence of its seed bank (if it exists) is not known; however, the related species, barnyard grass (*Echinochloa colona* (L.) Link) shows a persistence of 2% after two years at 0-2 cm depth and 19% at 10 cm depth in a controlled non-disturbed experiment (Walker et al. 2006).

Origin

Echinochloa polystachya is a tall C4 grass with a pseudo-annual lifecycle, which is in phase with the annual cycle of water levels in the central Amazon. It is one of the most frequently encountered aquatic grasses in the Amazonian Várzea rivers (Colares and Colares 2002). It is estimated that the area of the Amazon floodplains covered by Aleman grass is in the order of 5000 km2 (Piedade *et al.* 1997).

History of introduction

It is believed that Aleman grass was initially imported into Australia in the 1970s. The cultivar of Aleman grass used was called Amity and it is coded as CPI 61147. The original specimen's provenance is from the Orinoco Delta in Venezuela. This material came via the International Research Institute in Tucupita, Venezuela; this was also the source of the Australian Weed of National Significance, hymenachne, *Hymenachne amplexicaulis*.

Aleman grass was introduced to supplement the use of para grass because it could grow in water more than 30 cm deep, it is resistant to leaf hopper damage, it is more palatable to stock, and up to 50% more productive than para grass (Wildin & Chapman 1988). This low maximum depth restricted the water storage capabilities of ponded pastures. Aleman grass can grow in water that is seasonally up to more than 2 m deep, which means more water can be stored in the ponded areas, enabling it to last longer in the dry season. The greater amount of water is also better at protecting the grass against frost.

The original testing sites for this grass were in Central Queensland, especially on the property Granite Vale situated on Amity Creek near St. Lawrence. Granite Vale was also a property on which hymenachne was initially tested. The Queensland Herbage Plant Liaison Committee approved Aleman grass for general release in July 1988 (Reg. No. A-25a-1) (Wildin 1988).

In the 1996–97 CSIRO Northern Australia Beef Producer Survey, 14% of respondents in the Central Queensland coastal region, 14% of respondents in the Central Highlands, 17% of North Queensland and 11% of Northern Territory replied that they had planted Aleman grass in pasture improvement programmes (Bortolussi *et al.* 2005). This equates to 29 of the 202 properties that replied to the survey in these four survey areas. Six of these property owners replied that Aleman grass was spreading naturally on the property; four in the greater than 1000 mm rainfall zone and two in the 500–750 mm rainfall zone (Bortolussi *et al.* 2005).

Worldwide distribution

Native: NORTHERN AMERICA South-eastern USA: Florida; Louisiana South-central USA: Texas [east coastal] Mexico

SOUTHERN AMERICA

Mesoamerica: Belize; Costa Rica; Honduras; Nicaragua; Panama Caribbean: Antigua and Barbuda; Cuba; Dominican Republic; Haiti; Jamaica; Martinique; Puerto Rico; St. Lucia; Trinidad and Tobago Northern South America: French Guiana; Guyana; Suriname; Venezuela; Brazil Western South America: Bolivia; Ecuador; Peru Southern South America: Argentina; Paraguay; Uruguay

Other: Naturalised in tropical and South Africa, Egypt, tropical Asia, India, Sri Lanka, Australia, southern South America, and Hawaii.



Figure 1. Collections of Aleman grass from the Missouri Botanical Garden (2006) W3— TROPICOS database.



Figure 2. Collections of Aleman grass from various sources (GBIF 2006).

Distribution in Australia

Aleman grass is believed to have been released into Western Australia and Northern Territory during the 1990s (Cameron & Lemcke 2003; Lazarides *et al.* 1997; Smith 1995). It has naturalised on the Mary River, Northern Territory (Clarkson pers. comm.).



Figure 3. Australian collections of Aleman grass from major Australian herbaria (AVH 2006).

Preferred habitat and climate

In its native habitat, it forms extensive colonies in seasonal swamps along stream banks and other seasonally flooded areas (Wildin 1988). Aleman grass can grow in water that is seasonally more than two more metres deep. This characteristic makes it a threat to open water in wetlands.

According to Pompêo et al. (2001), the main environmental factors that govern the growth of Aleman grass are temperature, precipitation and the fluctuation of the water level. As with hymenachne, no quantitative information regarding the direct influence of soil type on survival and abundance could be found, although it is known that Aleman grass does well in moderate to heavy clay soils (Wildin & Chapman 1988).

Soil requirements

Aleman grass tolerates a wide range of soil fertility, but is best on soils of medium to high fertility. In Colombia, it is found on clay soils. It is adapted to soil pH 4.0–8.0 and has some resistance to sodicity. It is very tolerant of poor drainage. (Cook *et al.* 2006), and is adapted to wet to very wet soils (Rattray 1973, cited in Skerman & Riveros 1990). In Florida everglades, it is reported from flooded Histols (Porter et al. 1991). In Northern Territory, Australia, Aleman grass is reported to grow well on black cracking clays under flooding for 7–12 months and solodic (bull dust) plains (Lemcke 1995).

The Várzea rivers are sediment-laden nutrient-rich white-water rivers. Nutrient dynamics studies of Aleman grass in the Várzea floodplain show that nitrogen and phosphate increases in the plant appear closely linked to water level, suggesting that most nitrogen and potassium may be derived from the flooding river water. Potassium continues to increase throughout the growth of the stand, suggesting that its uptake is not directly linked to supply from the flooding river water.

Moisture

The natural habitat of Aleman grass is seasonally flooded wetlands, but it can grow under very high rainfall conditions (>1900 mm). Generally, there is no growth under dry conditions unless there is a high water table, but it re-establishes from stems and stolons with subsequent flooding. Normally it is grown in water to 1 m depth, but it can persist for short periods in deeper water to 3 m. It grows best in wet or seasonally flooded areas, where flooding can occur for 7–12 months of the year. (Cook et al. 2006)

If planted in dry uplands it will thrive for the first year after planting, but then it becomes weak and slowly succumbs (Judd 1975).

Six property owners who replied to the 1996–97 CSIRO Northern Australia Beef Producers survey indicated that Aleman grass was spreading naturally on their properties; four of these were in the greater than 1000 mm rainfall zone and two were in the 500–750 mm rainfall zone (Bortolussi et al. 2005).

Temperature

Aleman grass only has summer growth. It has no frost tolerance, but is often protected from overnight frosts by the surrounding volume of water. Cook *et al*. (2006) suggest that Aleman grass prefers tropical and marginally subtropical lowlands.

Light

Aleman grass requires full sunlight (Cook et al. 2006).

History as a weed overseas and interstate

Aleman grass is not a declared weed in any state or territory of Australia. It is listed in the local government area pest management plans of two local governments (see Table 2). Aleman grass is listed in the Far North Queensland Regional Organisation of Councils (FNQROC) Regional Pest Management Strategy as a Category 4 species with the following goal: 'Control to be consistent with level of declaration and/or local adverse impact'. The FNQROC Regional Pest Management Strategy integrates the individual pest management plans of the 10 member councils and Hinchinbrook Shire Council in tropical northern and north-eastern Queensland. This covers most of the Wet Tropics Bioregion and parts of three other bioregions—Cape York Peninsula, the Einasleigh Uplands and a portion of the northern Gulf Plains.

Table 2. Queensland local governments that specifically mention Aleman grass in their local government pest management plans (as at August 2006).

| Local government area (LGA) | Priority in weed management plan |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Burdekin | High |
| Hinchinbrook | Very low |
| Thuringowa City | Watch list |
| FNQROC (comprising the LGAs of Atherton, Cardwell, Cairns, Cook, Douglas, Eacham, Herberton, Hinchinbrook, Johnstone, Mareeba and Torres) | Category 4 |

Holm *et al.* (1991) lists Aleman grass as a serious weed of a crop in Argentina and Mexico, a principle weed of a crop in India and Mexico, present as a weed in Zaire and Chad and present in the flora of Hawaii, Sri Lanka and South Africa.

Humphries *et al.* (1991) listed Aleman grass as one of Australia's main environmental weeds and recommended urgent control action and a review of current planting policy.

There are only a few direct references to Aleman grass as a weed elsewhere in the world. It is considered an invasive plant species on some Pacific Islands (PIER 2006). It is likely that it has become a weed, at least of the environment, in other areas of introduction such as Mexico, West Indies and other South American countries where it is not native. In its native range in central Amazon, Aleman grass commonly forms monospecific stands. This suggests that it either out-competes any other plants capable of colonising these areas or that it occupies a niche that no other plant can occupy (Piedade *et al.* 1991).

In south Louisiana, Aleman grass is a weed of rice crops presently infesting 12 000–15 000 acres of rice (Oryza sativa) and crayfish production (Griffin 2006). It is also a weed of inundated and rain-fed rice fields in Java where it may suppress other plants (Michael 1989). Growing conditions and cultural practices (flooding/draw down and fertilising into flood) in rice production which mimic the conditions in the native range of Aleman grass in the Amazon flood plain may advance the weediness.

Impact

The impacts on the sugar industry, water resources, beef industry and human health, probably will be similar to that of *Hymenachne amplexicaulis* (Csurhes *et al.* 1999). The effect on fisheries would probably be greater because Aleman grass can grow in deeper water.

Similar to hymenachne, Aleman grass has the potential to invade large areas of wetlands and areas with high average annual rainfall in Northern Australia. Of particular concern is its ability to cover large areas of open water, which was previously out of range of para grass due to the limitations on the depth of water in which para grass could grow. Aleman grass would threaten the habitat of water birds, in particular magpie goose (*Anseranas semipalmate* Latham 1798) and cotton pygmy-goose (*Nettapus coromandelianus albipennis* Gould 1842), which, like other water birds, are already suffering because of habitat destruction. In the case of magpie geese, introduced ponded pasture species such as para grass and hymenachne are invading their breeding habitat and displacing principal food plants. The cotton pygmy-goose is impacted by closure of open water where it feeds (Garnett & Crowley 2000).

There is a report from Paraíba in north-eastern Brazil of an outbreak of nitrate poisoning ascribed to Aleman grass (Medeiros *et al.* 2003) where death occurred in five of the eleven animals poisoned. It was reported that the main reason for the nitrate accumulation in the grass was the prolonged drought followed by rain.

Given the high productivity of Aleman grass (see Table 3 and Table 4) it would be reasonable to assume that as wetlands dry out there is the potential for grass fires to be fuelled by Aleman grass, and these fires are likely to reach intensities not normally experienced with native grasses.

Aleman grass is a susceptible host species for maize streak monogeminivirus (MSV) (Brunt *et al.* 1996; Gibbs et al. 2000). Maize streak virus is the most serious disease of maize in Africa (Van Rensburg & Kuhn 1977; Rose 1978; Mzira 1984; Kim et al. 1989); it affects 60% of the planted area and causes an estimated 37% yield loss, or roughly 5.5 million tonnes yr-1 losses in production in Africa (Jeffers 2001). MSV is transmitted by a wide range of genera and species leafhoppers (Cicadellideae). MSV spread is facilitated by successive cropping and the presence of wild grasses as reservoirs of both virus and vectors (Autrey & Ricaud 1983), as well as the wide variety of plant species on which leafhoppers feed, the ability of the vectors to transmit MSV persistently, and the insects' often considerable migration distances (Bosque & Buddenhagen 1999; Rose 1978). MSV is not known to be in Australia.

Two leafhopper species, *Cicadulina bimaculata* and *C. bipunctata* (syn *bipunctella*), recorded as vectors of MSV in Africa, are also recorded in Australia (Day & Fletcher 1994), though the genetic relationships of the two populations have not been compared, nor has the vector efficiency of the Australian populations for MSV transmission been assessed.

Economic benefits

Aleman grass is a useful summer pasture under high-rainfall conditions, with some seasonal waterlogging widely used in South American tropics and subtropics (FAO Grassland and Pasture 2006). The primary benefit of Aleman grass is that when used in ponded pastures it reduces the impact of the 'protein drought' during the dry season. By having this forage available during the dry season, it reduces stock weight loss and improves the price and marketability of stock. Detailed economic assessments of Aleman grass benefits could not be located.

In Brazil, in its native range and habitat, the annual net primary production of Aleman grass is 99 tonnes/ha of dry matter which is among the highest values recorded for natural vegetation (Piedade *et al.* 1991). Dry matter yields of Aleman grass are comparable to those of hymenachne and para grass (Table 4).

In an Indian study, Aleman grass gave significantly higher green fodder, dry-matter and crude protein than did para grass during three years of harvesting (Table 3).

| Grass cutting (cuts per 3-year period) | Green fodder yield (tonnes/ha) | | Dry matter yield (tonnes/ha) | | | Crude protein yield (kg/ha) | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-------|-----|-----------------------------|-------|------|--------|
| | Para | | Aleman | Para | | Aleman | Para | | Aleman |
| | grass | | grass | grass | | grass | grass | | grass |
| 2 | 17.8 | | 24.2 | 3.8 | | 5.2 | 257 | | 355 |
| 3 | 20.3 | | 25.5 | 4.4 | | 5.5 | 298 | | 393 |
| 4 | 23.1 | | 30.5 | 5.4 | | 6.5 | 323 | | 465 |
| SEM + | | 2.0 | | | 0.3 | | | 8.2 | |
| CD (p=0.05) | | 5.5 | | | 0.7 | | | 23.1 | |

Table 3. Comparison of yields of Aleman grass and para grass under different cutting management strategies (after Dhar et al. 2001).

Aleman grass is very palatable and eagerly sought by grazing animals (Table 6). In Venezuela, dry matter digestibilities for cattle after 41, 48, 55, 92 days regrowth were 63%, 62.2%, 59.1% and 60.5% respectively, and crude protein levels were 10.3%, 9.1%, 8.9% and 8.2% respectively (Combellas and Gonzales 1973). Digestibility figures were also recorded by Jiménez and Parra (1973) for the giant rodent, the capybara, in Venezuela. The intake of Aleman grass was 83 g DM/kg B.W. of capybara. The total digestibility of the dry matter was 58.8%, based on Aleman grass having 11.7% crude protein and 36.2% crude fibre, with digestibility ratios of 63% and 52.1%, respectively. By comparison, the equivalent intake of para grass was 124 g DM/Kg B.W. with a DM digestibility of 61.7%, protein 68.8% and fibre 60.5%.

Table 4. Forage yields of Aleman grass compared with para grass and hymenachne.

| Grass | Location | Dry matter (tons/ha/yr) | References |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Para grass | Innisfail, Qld | 12* | Schofield 1944 |
| | Innisfail, Qld | 30 | Grof & Harding 1970 |
| | Uganda | 40 | Novoa & Rodriquez- Carrasquel 1972 |
| | Taiwan | 45 | Yeh 1978 |
| | Venezuela | 36 | Anon 1981 |
| | Florida | 110++ | Handley & Ekern 1984 |
| | India | 18–23# | Dhar <i>et al</i> . 2001 |
| Hymenachne | Venezuela | 18 | Teys 1978 |
| Aleman grass | Venezuela | 22 | Anon 1981 |
| | Florida | 57-69 | Pate & Snyder 1984 |
| | Colombia | 16-25+ | Monsalve 1978 |
| | India | 24-30# | Dhar <i>et al.</i> 2001 |

* First year after sugarcane and with no fertiliser.

+ Mean of two years without N fertiliser.

++ Irrigated with sewerage effluent plus up to 2600 kg N/ha.

Under various harvesting regimes.

Table 5. Comparison of chemical analysis of Aleman grass, para grass and hymenachne (after Göhl 1975, Skerman and Riveros 1990).

| | As % of dry matter | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------------------|
| Grass | Description | | | | | | | Reference |
| | | DM | СР | CF | Ash | EE | NFE | |
| Para grass | Fresh, 45 cm, Philippines | 33.7 | 11.6 | 29.7 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | Loosli <i>et al</i> . 1954 |
| | Fresh, 90 cm, Philippines | 22.3 | 9 | 33.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | Loosli <i>et al</i> . 1954 |
| | Fresh, 100 cm, Philippines | 20.5 | 8.8 | 31.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | Loosli <i>et al</i> . 1954 |
| | Fresh, mid-bloom, Trinidad | 24.9 | 4.5 | 32.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | Butterworth 1963 |
| | Fresh, dough stage, Hawaii | 25.6 | 8.1 | 34.2 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | Work 1937 |
| | Fresh, whole aerial part, Suriname | | 8.8 | 37.5 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | Dirven 1962 |
| | Fresh leaves, Suriname | | 14 | 31 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | Dirven 1962 |
| | Fresh stems, Suriname | | 5.9 | 41.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | Dirven 1962 |
| | Fresh tops, Thailand | | 15.4 | 27.2 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | Bhannasiri 1970 |
| | Hay, wet season, 6 weeks, 60 cm, Thailand | | 7.7 | 31.8 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | Holm 1971 |
| | Hay, wet season, 8 weeks, 80 cm Thailand | | 9.1 | 33.6 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 | Holm 1971 |

| | As % of dry matter | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|----------------------------|
| Grass | Description | | | | | | | Reference |
| | | DM | СР | CF | Ash | EE | NFE | |
| Para grass | Hay, wet season, 10 weeks, 90 cm Thailand | 85.3 | 5.5 | 35.1 | 8.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | Holm 1971 |
| | Hay, wet season, 12 weeks, 110 cm Thailand | 84.9 | 4.2 | 34.5 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | Holm 1971 |
| | Hay, dry season, 6 weeks, 40 cm Thailand | 81.2 | 12.4 | 30.3 | 10.7 | 2 | 2 | Holm 1971 |
| | Hay, dry season, 8 weeks, 55 cm Thailand | 91.7 | 9.3 | 30 | 12.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | Holm 1971 |
| | Hay, dry season, 10 weeks, 60 cm Thailand | 85.5 | 6.5 | 32.4 | 10.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | Holm 1971 |
| | Hay, dry season, 12 weeks, 65 cm Thailand | 88.8 | 6.5 | 32.4 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | Holm 1971 |
| | Floral initiation, Costa Rica | | 6.36 | 30.34 | 8.41 | 1.35 | 1.35 | Gonzalez & Pacheco 1970 |
| | Flowering November, Africa | 29 | 6.50 | 35.20 | 7.00 | | | Boudet 1975 |
| | Regrowth March, Africa | 23 | 8.90 | 32.30 | 15.40 | | | Boudet 1975 |
| | 30 days regrowth, Africa | 19 | 18.20 | 29.20 | 12.21 | | | Boudet 1975 |
| | Silage, old forage | 26.6 | 5.80 | | | | | Paterson 1945 |
| Hymenachne | Fresh, whole aerial part, Suriname | | 15.8 | 34.6 | 9.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | Dirven 1962 |
| | Fresh, stems only, Suriname | | 8.9 | 36.7 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | Dirven 1962 |
| | Fresh, leaves only, Suriname | | 22.6 | 32.4 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | Dirven 1962 |
| | Fresh, mid-bloom, India | | 9.4 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | Talapatra 1949 |
| | Hay, mid-bloom, India | | 7.5 | 29.2 | 12.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | Talapatra 1949 |
| | Silage, mid- bloom, India | | 6.9 | 27.8 | 17.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | Talapatra 1949 |
| Aleman grass | Fresh, 2 weeks, Costa Rica | 16.0 | 13.8 | 32.0 | 12.7 | 4.2 | 4.2 | INFIC 1978 |
| | Fresh, 4 weeks, Costa Rica | 17.0 | 13.0 | 31.6 | 13.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | INFIC 1978 |
| | Fresh, 6 weeks, Costa Rica | 18.5 | 10.5 | 33.4 | 12.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | INFIC 1978 |
| | Fresh, 8 weeks, Costa Rica | 20.7 | 8.3 | 35.6 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | INFIC 1978 |
| | Hay, late vegetative, Costa Rica | 83.9 | 12.9 | 32.2 | 12.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | INFIC 1978 |

| | As % of dry matter | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|--------------|
| Grass | Description | | | | | | | Reference |
| | | DM | СР | CF | Ash | EE | NFE | |
| | Hay, mid-bloom, Costa Rica | 86.4 | 7.9 | 35.5 | 10.5 | 2.1 | 44.0 | INFIC 1978 |
| | Fresh, vegetative, Cuba | 29.7 | 13.1 | 26.2 | 11.6 | 3.1 | 46.0 | Calvino 1952 |
| | Suriname | | 14.3 | | | | | Dirven 1963 |

Milk protein of Holstein-Friesian X zebu cows was lowest on Aleman grass compared with *Brachiaria ruziziensis* (Kenya grass), *Urochloa mutica* (para grass) or *Panicum maximum* (Guinea grass) (Perez-Infante & Gonzales 1985).

Table 6. Comparison of digestibility of Aleman grass, para grass and hymenachne (Göhl 1975).

| | | | Digest | ibility (% | 6) | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|--------|------------|------|------|------------------------------|
| Grass | Animal | | | | | | Reference |
| | | СР | CF | EE | NFE | ME | |
| Para grass, fresh, 45 cm | Sheep | 73.5 | 77.5 | 54.2 | 79.8 | 2.57 | Loosli <i>et al</i> . 1954 |
| Para grass, fresh, 90 cm | Goats | 53.9 | 56.9 | 20.9 | 51 | 1.72 | Loosli <i>et al</i> . 1954 |
| Para grass, fresh, 100 cm | Sheep | 48.4 | 40.9 | 23 | 37.1 | 1.31 | Loosli <i>et al</i> . 1954 |
| Para grass, fresh, mid- bloom | Sheep | 31.6 | 60.7 | 50 | 63.5 | 2.07 | Butterworth 1963 |
| Para grass, fresh, dough stage | Oxen | 60 | 67 | 52 | 64 | 2.11 | Work 1937 |
| Para grass, hay, 8 weeks | Sheep | 50 | 50 | 35 | 52 | 1.67 | Holm 1971 |
| Para grass, hay, 12 weeks | Sheep | 35 | 49 | 54 | 51 | 1.64 | Holm 1971 |
| Para grass | Capybara | 61.7 | 60.5 | | | | Jiménez & Parra 1973 |
| Hymenachne, mid-bloom | Bullocks | 58.6 | 61.5 | 60.5 | 37.9 | 67.0 | Talapatra 1949 |
| Hymenachne, hay, mid- bloom | Bullocks | 56.2 | 42.4 | 70.7 | 39.1 | 60.6 | Talapatra 1949 |
| Hymenachne, silage, mid- bloom | Bullocks | 58.5 | 43.9 | 69.3 | 40.9 | 60.3 | Talapatra 1949 |
| Aleman grass, 6 weeks regrowth | Cattle | 63 | | | | | Combellas & Gonzales 1973 |
| Aleman grass | Capybara | 63 | 52.1 | | | | Jiménez & Parra 1973 |

Animal production

The data in Table 7 indicates similar animal productivity levels for Aleman grass compared with the widespread para grass. Average daily live weight gains of the order of 0.5-0.65 kg/ animal and stocking rates of 0.3 for Aleman grass are comparable to the range for para grass (0.2-1.0); production of more than 700 kg live weight/ha are reported. In Queensland, the alternatives to ponded pasture, where it is used, are mostly dry land native pasture with a production capacity of only 20–40 kg live weight gain/ha/yr (Middleton *et al.* 1991).

| Grass | Location | Stocking rate ha/an | Animal live weight gain | | References |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | | | g/day | kg/ha/yr | |
| Para | Tully, Queensland | 0.9 | 520 | 210 | Seddon & Mulhearn 1939 |
| Para | Innisfail, Queensland | 0.5 | 430-590 | 315-430 | Alexander & Chester 1956 |
| Para & legume (irrigated) | Mareeba, Queensland | 0.26-0.33 | 570-608 | 640-780 | Miller & van der List 1977 |
| Para & legume (irrigated) | Ayr, Queensland | 0.24 | 450 | 670 | Allen & Cowdry 1961 |
| Para | Columbia | 0.4 | 600 | 550 | Crowder <i>et al</i> . 1970 |
| Para & fertiliser (irrigated) | Columbia | 0.4 | 780 | 715 | Crowder <i>et al.</i> 1970 |
| Aleman | Mexico | 0.30 | 496 | 605 | Navarro 1980 |
| Para | Venezuela | | 413 | | Anon 1981 |
| Aleman | Venezuela | | 653 | | Anon 1981 |
| Para | Venezuela | 0.17 | 217 | 475 | Gabaldon <i>et al.</i> 1982 |
| Aleman | Venezuela | 0.17 | 309 | 677 | Gabaldon <i>et al.</i> 1982 |
| Para/Aleman | Venezuela | 0.25 | 440 | 642 | Gabaldon <i>et al.</i> 1982 |
| Para/Aleman | Venezuela | 0.13 | 185 | 540 | Gabaldon <i>et al.</i> 1982 |
| Para | Solomon Islands | 0.28 | 447 | 558 | Watson, 1986 |

Table 7. Animal production from Aleman grass and para grass (after Middleton et al. 1991).

In Vera Cruz, Mexico, with a rainfall of 1060 mm from May to October and an altitude of 10–16 m, zebu steers grazed on a put-and-take system gained 280 kg/ha on Aleman grass compared with 406 kg/ha on *Digitaria decumbens*, 223 kg/ha on *Brachiaria mutica*, 190 kg/ ha on *Hyparrhenia rufa* and 157 kg/ha on *Panicum maximum* (Arroyo & Teunissen 1964 cited in FAO, 2006). This indicates that animal production on Aleman grass is 1.25 times that on para grass.

The potential benefit to Queensland of the use of Aleman grass in pasture systems can be estimated from a comparison of beef production on Aleman grass pasture compared with an equivalent area of para grass pasture. For this comparison, it will be assumed that all the claimed 100 000 ha of para grass in Queensland (Walker & Weston 1990) is available for grazing (this is an overstatement as some of those areas are not in beef production).

Para grass: 100 000 ha × 1.3 animal/ha x 150 kg/animal × \$1.80/kg = \$35 100 000 Aleman grass: 1.25 × para grass production = \$43 875 000

The estimated potential benefit of Aleman grass pasture over para grass ponded pasture for Queensland is \$8.775m per annum. This estimate does not factor in the cost of converting from one pasture species to another.

Alternative pasture species

The most readily available alternative to Aleman grass is the introduced para grass (*Urochloa mutica*), which occurs over wide areas of Queensland. As shown above, forage yields (Table 4), chemical analysis (Table 5), digestibility (Table 6) and carrying capacity (Table 7) of para grass are similar to Aleman grass. The advantage of Aleman grass over para grass is that Aleman can grow in deeper water than para grass and so will be available longer into the dry season as the ponded pastures dry out and the resulting shallower water depth allows the stock to graze further out into the previously deeper parts of the ponds.

There are several species of native grass that are suitable for use in ponded pasture systems. Water couch (*Paspalum paspalodes*) has been said to be a good grass for ponded pastures especially if it is exposed to heavy grazing pressure (Wildin & Chapman 1988). It is also drought-tolerant, but this species is not being widely promoted compared with the exotic pasture species.

The native wetland grass, *Hymenachne acutigluma*, has significant value as a ponded pasture grass in tropical areas and was the main species grazed by water buffalo in the tropical floodplains of the Northern Territory. It exists in North Queensland but plantings have not persisted south of Mackay (Wildin *et al.* 1996). Calder (1981) and Cameron (2003) reported the distribution, fertiliser response, growth pattern, potential use and productivity of native hymenachne in the Northern Territory. Native hymenachne has considerable potential as a tropical ponded pasture but this potential appears to have been largely ignored in northern Australia, in favour of robust exotics that are more readily available.

Other native grasses that are useful in ponded pastures include swamp rice grass (*Leersia hexandra*) (Anning & Kernot 1991) and spiny mudgrass (*Pseudoraphis spinescens*) (Wildin 1991). Consideration could be given to promoting other native wetland grasses, such as the native rices, *Oryza australiensis, O. meridionalis* and the possible use in considered locations, *Paspalidium udum* (Paul Williams, pers. comm.).

The use of native species in pondage has been suggested many times but the available native species are not considered anywhere near as productive as para grass and there is little chance of adoption (John Clarkson, EPA, pers. comm.).

Pest potential in Queensland

The pest potential of Aleman grass in Queensland is comparable to that of hymenachne and para grass.

As a 'floating grass', Aleman grass is well adapted to being dispersed by flood waters.

Its effect on stream and wetland hydrology will be greater than that of para grass or hymenachne as Aleman grass can grow in deeper water than those two species and can potentially affect deeper channels in wetlands.

Its adaptation to and requirement for cyclical inundation make Aleman grass a major threat to seasonally flooded wetlands in Queensland.

The northern region of Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service (QPWS) considers that para grass, Aleman grass and hymenachne are significant threats to the biodiversity of tropical wetlands (Paul Williams, QPWS, pers. comm.). Para grass is a threat to wetlands of a large proportion of northern region protected areas, including the Bowling Green Bay wetlands, listed under the international RAMSAR agreement. There is potential for Aleman grass to spread further through a large area of this wetland.



Establishment risk assessment

Table 8. Aleman grass Pheloung risk assessment prepared by Randal (2006)

| | | Echinochloa A | <i>polystachya</i> leman grass RR |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|
| A. Biogeography/historical | | | |
| 1 Domestication/cultivation | 1.01 Has the s | Is the species highly domesticated? 1.02 pecies become naturalised where grown? | No Yes |
| | 1.03 | Does the species have weedy races? | |
| 2 Climate and distribution | 2.01 | Species suited to Australian climates (o—low; 1—intermediate; 2-—high) | 1 |
| | 2.02 | Quality of climate match data (o—low; 1— intermediate; 2—high) | 1 |
| | 2.03 | Broad climate suitability (environmental versatility) | No |
| | 2.04 | dry periods | No |
| | 2.05 | Does the species have a history of repeated introductions outside its natural range? | Yes |
| 3 Weed elsewhere (interacts | 3.01 | Naturalised beyond native range | Yes |
| with 2.01 to give a weighted | 3.02 | Garden/amenity/disturbance weed | Yes |
| score) | 3.03 | Weed of agriculture | Yes |
| | 3.04 | Environmental weed | Yes |
| | 3.05 | Congeneric weed | Yes |
| B. Biology/ecology | | | |
| 4 Undesirable traits | 4.01 | Produces spines, thorns or burrs | No |
| | 4.02 | Allelopathic | No |
| | 4.03 | Parasitic | No |
| | 4.04 | Unpalatable to grazing animals | No |
| | 4.05 | Toxic to animals | No |
| | 4.06 | Host for recognised pests and pathogens | No |
| | 4.07 | Causes allergies or is otherwise toxic to humans | No |
| | 4.08 | Creates a fire hazard in natural ecosystems | |
| | 4.09 | Is a shade tolerant plant at some stage of its lifecycle | No |
| | 4.10 | Grows on infertile soils | Yes |
| | 4.11 4.12 | Climbing or smothering growth habit Forms dense thickets | No |
| 5 Plant type | 5.01 | Aquatic | Yes |
| | 5.02 | Grass | Yes |
| | 5.03 | Nitrogen fixing woody plant | No |
| | 5.04 | Geophyte | No |
| 6 Reproduction | 6.01 | Evidence of substantial reproductive failure in native habitat | |
| | 6.02 | Produces viable seed | |
| | 6.03 | Hybridises naturally | |
| | 6.04 | Self-compatible or apomictic | |
| | 6.05 | Requires specialist pollinators | No |
| | 6.06 | Reproduction by vegetative fragmentation | Yes |
| | 6.07 | Minimum generative time (years) | 1 |

| | | Echinochloa A | <i>polystachya</i> leman grass RR | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 7 Dispersal mechanisms | 7.01 | Propagules likely to be dispersed unintentionally (plants growing in areas with much vehicle movement) | No | | | | | |
| | 7.02 | Propagules dispersed intentionally by people | Yes | | | | | |
| | 7.03 | Propagules likely to disperse as a produce contaminant | No | | | | | |
| | 7.04 | Propagules adapted to wind dispersal | No | | | | | |
| | 7.05 | Propagules water dispersed | | | | | | |
| | 7.06 | Propagules bird dispersed | No | | | | | |
| | 7.07 | Propagules dispersed by other animals (externally) | No | | | | | |
| | 7.08 | Propagules survive passage through the gut | | | | | | |
| 8 Persistence attributes | 8.01 | Prolific seed production (>2000/m2) | No | | | | | |
| | 8.02 | Evidence that a persistent propagule bank is formed (21 vr) | No | | | | | |
| | 8.03 | Well controlled by herbicides | No | | | | | |
| | 8.04 | Tolerates, or benefits from, mutilation or cultivation | Yes | | | | | |
| | 8.05 | Effective natural enemies present in Australia | No | | | | | |
| | | Outcome: Score: | Reject 13 | | | | | |
| Stastical summary of scoring | | Biogeography Score partition: Undesirable attributes Biology/ecology | 7.5 0 5 | | | | | |
| | | Biogeography Questions | 7 | | | | | |
| answered: Undesirable attributes Biology/ecology Total | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Agricultural | 6 |
| | | | | | | | Sector affected: Environmental | 8 |
| | | Nuisance | 1.5 | | | | | |

| | | Echinochloa A | <i>polystachya</i> Ileman grass |
|--|----------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| A. Biogeography/historical | | | |
| 1 Domestication/cultivation | 1.01 1.02 1.03 | Is the species highly domesticated? Is the species naturalised where grown? Does the species have weedy races? | No Yes |
| 2 Climate and distribution | 2.01 | Species suited to Australian climates (o—low; 1—intermediate: 2-—high) | 2 |
| | 2.02 | Quality of climate match data (o—low; 1— intermediate: 2—high) | 1 |
| | 2.03 | Broad climate suitability (environmental versatility) | No |
| | 2.04 | Native or naturalised in regions with extended dry periods | No |
| | 2.05 | Does the species have a history of repeated introductions outside its natural range? | Yes |
| 3 Weed elsewhere (interacts with 2.01 to give a weighted | 3.01 3.02 | Naturalised beyond native range Garden/amenity/disturbance weed | Yes |
| score) | 3.03 3.04 | Weed of agriculture/horticulture/forestry Environmental weed | Yes Yes |
| B. Biology/ecology | | | |
| 4 Undesirable traits | 4.01 | Produces spines, thorns or burrs | No |
| | 4.02 | Allelopathic | No |
| | 4.03 | Parasitic | No |
| | 4.04 | Unpalatable to grazing animals | No |
| | 4.05 | Toxic to animals | No |
| | 4.06 | Host for recognised pests and pathogens | Yes |
| | 4.07 | Causes allergies or is otherwise toxic to humans | Yes |
| | 4.08 | Creates a fire hazard in natural ecosystems | No |
| | 4.09 | Is a shade tolerant plant at some stage of its lifecycle | No |
| | 4.10 | Grows on infertile soils | No |
| | 4.11 | Climbing or smothering growth habit | No |
| | 4.12 | Forms dense thickets | Yes |
| 5 Plant type | 5.01 | Aquatic | Yes |
| | 5.02 | Grass | Yes |
| | 5.03 | Nitrogen fixing woody plant | No |
| | 5.04 | Geophyte | No |
| 6 Reproduction | 6.01 | Evidence of substantial reproductive failure in native habitat | |
| | 6.02 | Produces viable seed | Yes |
| | 6.03 | Hybridises naturally | |
| | 6.04 | Self fertilisation | |
| | 6.05 | Requires specialist pollinators | Yes |
| | 6.06 | Reproduction by vegetative fragmentation | 1 |
| | 6.07 | Minimum generative time (years) | |

| | | Echinochloa A | <i>polystachya</i> leman grass | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 7 Dispersal mechanisms | 7.01 | Propagules likely to be dispersed unintentionally | No | |
| | 7.02 | Propagules dispersed intentionally by people | Yes | |
| | 7.03 | Propagules likely to disperse as a produce contaminant | No | |
| | 7.04 | Propagules adapted to wind dispersal | No | |
| | 7.05 | Propagules buoyant | Yes | |
| | 7.06 | Propagules bird dispersed | No | |
| | 7.07 | Propagules dispersed by other animals (externally) | | |
| | 7.08 | Propagules dispersed by other animals (internally) | No | |
| 8 Persistence attributes | 8.01 | Prolific seed production | No | |
| | 8.02 | Evidence that a persistent propagule bank is formed (>1 vr) | No | |
| | 8.03 | Well controlled by herbicides | No | |
| | 8.04 | Tolerates, or benefits from, mutilation or cultivation | Yes | |
| | 8.05 | Effective natural enemies present in Australia | No | |
| | | Outcome: Score: | Reject 20 | |
| Stastical summary of scoring | | Biogeography | 12 | |
| · · · | | Score partition: Undesirable attributes | 1 | |
| | | Biology/ecology | 7 | |
| | | Biogeography Questions | 7 | |
| | | answered: Undesirable | 12 | |
| attributes Biology/ecology Total | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Sector affected: Environmental | | | | |

Appendix 1. CLIMEX parameters used to prepare Figure 4

| Parameters | Values |
|---|----------|
| Temperature index | |
| Limiting low temperature | 15 |
| Lower optimal temperature | 28 |
| Upper optimal temperature | 33 |
| Limiting high temperature | 36 |
| Moisture index | |
| Limiting low moisture | 0 |
| Lower optimal moisture | 0.01 |
| Upper optimal moisture | 5 |
| Limiting high moisture | 10 |
| Light index | Not used |
| Diapause index | Not used |
| Cold stress | |
| Cold stress temperature threshold | 2 |
| Cold stress temperature rate | 0 |
| Cold stress degree-day threshold | 25 |
| Cold stress degree-day rate | -0.002 |
| Cold stress temperature threshold (average) | 0 |
| Cold stress temperature rate (average) | 0 |
| Heat stress | |
| Heat stress temperature threshold | 37 |
| Heat stress temperature rate | 0.0002 |
| Heat stress degree-day threshold | 0 |
| Heat stress degree-day rate | 0 |
| Dry stress | |
| Dry stress threshold | Not used |
| Dry stress rate | Not used |
| Cold-dry stress | Not used |
| Cold-wet stress | Not used |
| Hot-dry stress | Not used |
| Hot-wet stress | Not used |

References

AFRIS (2006). 'Animal Feed Resources Information System', *Echinochloa polystachya*, www.fao.org/ag/aga/agap/frg/afris/Data/83.HTM (accessed 9 May 2006).

Alexander, GI and Chester, RD (1956). 'Growth studies of beef cattle in Queensland, *Queensland Journal of Agricultural Science*, 13: 71–95.

Allen, GH and Cowdry, WAR (1961a). 'Beef gains from irrigated pastures', Queensland *Agricultural Journal*, 87: 175–179.

Allen, GH and Cowdry, WAR (1961b). 'Yields from irrigated pastures in the Burdekin', *Queensland Agricultural Journal*, 87: 207–213.

Anning, P and Kernot, J (1991). *Ponded Pastures—North Queensland*, Beef Production Series, Queensland Department of Primary Industries.

Anon. (1981). 'Forage production in the Orinoco delta', *Noticias Agricolas, Servicio para et Agricultor*, pp. 77–79.

Arroyo, RD and Teunissen, H (1964). 'Comparative study of meat production from five tropical grasses', *Tecnica Pecuaria en Mexico* 3, 15–19.

Autrey, L.J-C and Ricaud, C (1983). 'The comparitive epidemiology of two diseases of maize caused by leafhopper-borne viruses in Mauritius', pp. 277–285, *Plant Virus Epidemiology*, Plumb RT and Thresh JM eds, Blackwell, Oxford.

AVH (2006) Australia's Virtual Herbarium, www.anbg.gov.au/avh/ (accessed 8 May 2006).

Baltazar, AM and Smith Jnr, RJ (1994). 'Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) control in rice (Oryza sativa)', *Weed Technology*, 8(3): 576–581.

Barreto, RW and Evans, HC (1996). 'Fungal pathogens of some Brazilian aquatic weeds and their potential use in biocontrol', pp. 121–126, Moran, VC and Hoffman, JH (eds.), *Proceedings of the IX International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds*, University of Cape Town, Cape Town.

Barreto, R, Charudattan, R, Pomella, A and Hanada, R (2000). 'Biological control of neotropical aquatic weeds with fungi', *Crop Protection* 19: 697–703.

Bomford M (2003). *Risk Assessment for the Import and Keeping of Exotic Vertebrates in Australia*, Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra.

Bosque, PNA and IW Buddenhagen (1999). 'Biology of Cicadulina leafhoppers and epidemiology of maize streak virus disease in West Africa', *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*. Feb. 16(1): 50–55.

Bortolussi, G, McIvor, JG, Hodgkinson, JJ, Coffey, SG and Holmes, CR, (2005). 'The northern Australian beef industry, a snapshot. 5. Land and pasture development practices', *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 45(9): 1121–1129.

Bottoms, SL, Webster, EP, Zhang, W, Griffin, RM, Hensley, JB and Levy, R (2006). 'Response of Creeping River Grass (*Echinochloa polystachya*) to rice production practices', *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Southern Weed Science Society*, 23–25 January 2006, San Antonio, Texas.

Brunt, AA, Crabtree, K, Dallwitz, MJ, Gibbs, AJ, Watson, L and Zurcher, EJ (eds.) (1996 onwards). Plant Viruses Online: Descriptions and Lists from the VIDE Database, Version: 20 August 1996. http://image.fs.uidaho.edu/vide/descr474.htm (accessed 27 March 2006).

Bunn, SE, Davies, PM, Kellaway, DM and Prosser, IP (1998). Influence of invasive macrophytes on channel morphology and hydrology in an open tropical lowland stream, and potential control by riparian shading, *Journal of Freshwater Biology*, 39: 171–178.

Butterworth, MH (1963). 'Digestibility trials on forages in Trinidad and then use in the prediction of nutritive value', *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 60: 34l.

Calder, GJ (1981). *'Hymenachne acutigluma* in the Northern Territory, Northern Territory Department of Primary Production', Technical Bulletin No. 46, Darwin (Agdex 131/00).

Calvino, M (1952). *Plantas forrajeras tropicales y subtropicales,* Mexico City, B. Trucco. Cameron, AG (2003). 'Hymenachne', *Agnote No. E33*. DBIRD, Darwin.

Cameron, AG and Lemcke, BG (2003). 'Management of Improved Grasses on NT Floodplains', *Agnote No. E17,* Department of Primary Industries, Darwin.

Colares IG, Colares EP (2002). 'Food plants eaten by Amazonian manatees (*Trichechus inunguis*, Mammalia: Sirenia)', *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* 45(1): 67–71.

Combellas J and Gonzales JE (1973). 'Yields and nutritive value of tropical forages. 4', *Echinochloa polystachya* (HBK) Hitch.', *Agronomia Tropical* 23(3): 269–275.

Cook BG, Pengelly BC, Brown SD, Donnelly JL, Eagles DA, Franco MA, Hanson J, Mullen BF, Partridge IJ, Peters M and Schultze-Kraft R (2006). Tropical Forages: an interactive selection tool, www.tropicalforages.info/ (accessed 27 March 2006).

Csurhes S and Edwards R, (1998). *Potential Environmental weeds in Australia. Candidate species for preventative control*, Environment Australia, Canberra, Australia.

Csurhes, SM, Mackey, AP and Fitzsimmons, L (1999). 'Hymenachne (*Hymenachne amplexicaulis*) in Queensland, Pest Status Review Series—Land Protection', Natural Resources, Queensland Government.

Calvino, M (1952). *Plantas forrajeras tropicales y subtropicales*, Mexico City, B Trucco.

Combellas J and Gonzales JE (1973). 'Yields and nutritive value of tropical forages. 4. *Echinochloa polystachya* (HBK) Hitch.', *Agronomia Tropical* 23(3): 269–275.

Crowder, LAV, Chaverra, H and Lotero, J (1970). 'Productive improved grasses in Colombia', pp. 147–149, *Proceedings XIth International Grasslands Congress*, Surfers Paradise, Australia.

Darke, R and Griffiths, M (eds) (1992). *Manual of Grasses*. *The New Royal Horticultural Society Dictionary*, Mackays of Chatham, Great Britain.

Day, MF and Fletcher, MJ (1994). 'An annotated catalogue of the Australian Cicadelloidea (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha)', *Invertebrate Taxonomy* 8, 1117–1288.

Lara Del Rio M and Ortega Reyes L (1998). 'Yield of eight grasses harvested at different regrowth times during three years in southern Campeche', *Tecnica Pecuaria en Mexico* 36(2) 141–150.

Dhar S, Gupta SD, Singh A and Arya RL (2001). 'Performance of grasses with cutting management under seasonal waterlogged conditions', *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 71(11) 698–700.

Dirven, JGP (1962). 'De voederwaarde van bladeren en stengels bij tropische grassen', *Surin*. *Landb. No. 5,* 199–202.

Dirven, JGP (1963). *The protein content in Surinam roughages*, 215–224.

Elder, RJ and O'Brien, RG, (1996). 'Ponded pasture pests and diseases', pp. 50–51, Pittaway, PA, Wildin, JH and McDonald, CK (eds.), *Beef production from ponded pastures*, Tropical Grassland Society of Australia Inc., St. Lucia, Queensland.

FAO (2006). Grassland Species Profiles *Echinochloa polystachya* (Kunth) Hitchc., www.fao. org/ag/agp/agpc/doc/gbase/data/pf000230.htm (accessed 27 March 2006).

Fischer, AJ, Ateh, CM, Bayer, DE and Hillm JE (2000). 'Herbicide-resistant *Echinochloa oryzoides* and *E. phyllopogon* in California *Oryza sativa* fields', *Weed Science* 48(2): 225–230.

Fischer, AJ, Granados, E and Trujillo, D (1993). 'Propanil resistance in populations of junglerice (*Echinochloa colona*) in Colombian rice fields', *Weed Scence*, 41: 201.

Jeffers, P (2001). *Maize Pathology Research: Increasing Maize Productivity and Sustainability in Biologically Stressed Environments*, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Mexico, DF.

Jiménez, EG and Parra, R (1973). 'The capybara, a meat-producing animal of the flooded areas of the tropics', pp. 81–86, *Proceedings 3rd World Conference Animal Production*, Melbourne.

Gabaldon, L, Chacon, E, Castegon, M, Arrijas, I and Tagliaferro, M (1982). The effect of stocking rate and fertilizer on the response of cattle grazing *Echinochloa polystachy*a and para grass, Informal publication, Institute Animal Production, University Central Venezuela.

Garnett, ST and Crowley, GM (2000). *The Action Plan for Australian Birds 2000*, Environment Australia, Canberra.

GBIF (2006). Global Biodiversity Information Facility Data Portal Species: *Echinochloa polystachya* (Kunth) A.S. Hitchc. www.asia.gbif.net/portal/ecat_browser.jsp?taxonKey=2799 80&countryKey=0&resourceKey=0 (accessed 8 May 2006).

Gibbs, AJ, Gibbs, MJ and Weiller, C (2000). *Viruses, Phytoplasmas and Spiroplasmas of Clonal Grasses and Their Diagnosis*, Consultancy report to Plant Biosecurity, Biosecurity Australia, Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

Göhl, B (1975). Tropical Feeds. Feeds information, summaries and nutritive value, Rome, FAO.

Greber, RS (1981). Ecological Aspects of Cereal Chlorotic Mottle Virus, *Australasian Plant Pathology* 10(2): 29–30.

Griffin, RM (2006). Echinochloa polystachya management in Louisiana rice, PhD dissertation, Louisiana State University.

Grof, B and Harding, WAT (1970). 'Dry matter yields and animal production of Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) on the humid tropical coast of North Queensland', *Tropical Grasslands*, 4: 85–96.

Handley, LL and Eckern, PC (1984). 'Efficient irrigation of para grass: water, nitrogen and biomass budgets', *Water Resources Bulletin* (Florida), 20: 669–677.

Holm, LG, Pancho, JV, Herberger, JP and Plucknett, DL (1991). *A Geographical Atlas of World Weeds*, Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida.

Humphries, SE, Groves, RH and Mitchell, DS (1991). *Plant invasions of Australian ecosystems: a status review and management directions*, report to Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service.

INFIC (1978). Data from International Network of Feed Information Centres, FAO, Rome.

International Survey of Herbicide-Resistant Weeds (2005). www.weedscience.org/Case/Case. asp?ResistID=1190 (accessed 27 September 2006).

Jiménez, EG and Parra R (1973). 'The capybara, a meat-producing animal of the flooded areas of the tropics', pp. 81–86, *Proceedings 3rd World Conference Animal Production*, Melbourne.

Judd BI, (1975). 'New world tropical forage grasses and their management 6. Bahiagrass, vaseygrass, rivergrass and signal grass', *World Crops* 27(4): 175–177.

Junk, W (1970). 'Investigations on the ecology and production biology of the floating meadows (Paspalo-Echinchloetum) on the middle Amazon', *Amazonia*, 2(4): 449-495.

Kadin, E (2001). Plant Fact Sheet: Creeping River Grass (*Echinochloa polystachya*), USDA– NRCS Kika de la Garza Plant Materials Center, Kingsville, TX, July 2001.

Kim, S-K, Efron, Y, Fajemisin, JM and Buddenhagen, IW (1989). 'Mode of gene action for resistance in maize to maize streak virus', *Crop Science* 29, 890–894.

Lazarides, M, Cowley, K and Hohnen, P (1997). *CSIRO Handbook of Australian Weeds*, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria.

Lemcke, B (1995). 'Aleman Grass—a grass for Flooded or Ponded Pastures', *Agnote No. E53*, Department of Primary Industries, Darwin.

Lloyd-Reilley, J (2002). *2001 Annual Technical Report*, USDA-NRCS Kika de la Garza Plant Materials Center, Kingsville, TX, 135 p.

Lopzez-Martinez, N, Marshall, G and De Prado, R (1997). 'Resistance of barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) to atrazine and quinclorac', *Pesticide Science* 51(2): 171–175.

Loosli, JK et al. (1954). *Philip. Agric.*, 37: 520.

Medeiros RMT, Riet-Correa F, Tabosa IM, Silva ZA, Barbosa RC, Marques AVMS and Nogueira FRB (2003). 'Nitrate and nitrite poisoning in cattle caused by the ingestion of *Echinochloa polystachya* and *Pennisetum purpureum* in the semiarid region of the state of Paraíba; [Intoxicação por nitratos e nitritos em bovinos por ingestão de *Echinochloa polystachya* (capim-mandante) e *Pennisetum purpureum* (capim-elefante) no sertão da Paraíba]', *Pesquisa Veterinaria Brasileira* 23(1): 17–20.

Michael, PW (1989). Review paper on weeds of concern to northern Australia, Prepared for the Northern Australian Quarantine Strategy, Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service, Department of Primary Industries and Energy, Canberra.

Miller, CP and van der List, JJ (1977). 'Yield, nitrogen uptake and liveweight gain from irrigated grass-legume pasture on a Queensland tropical highland', *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry*, 17: 949–960.

Missouri Botanical Garden (2006) w3—TROPICOS—Specimen Data Base Current Specimen List for *Echinochloa polystachya*, http://mobot.mobot.org/W3T/Search/vast.html (accessed 8 May 2006).

Monsalve, S (1978). 'Studies with Aleman grass (*Echinochloa polystachya* (H.B.K.) Hitchc.) in Columbia 1. Response to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium', *Revista del Inst. Colombiano Agropecuario*, 13: 661–664.

Mzira, CN (1984). 'Assessment of effects of maize streak virus on yield of maize (*Zea mays*)', *Zimbabwe Journal Agricultural Research* 22, 141–149.

Natural Resource Management Standing Committee (2004). *Guidelines for the import movement and keeping of exotic vertebrates in Australia*, www.feral.org.au/ref_docs_images/ VPCGuidelinesAprilo5.pdf.

Navarro, A (1980). 'Effect of supplementation with molasses and urea or bloodmeal on the performance of bulls grazing Aleman pasture', *Tropical Animal Production*, 5: 293.

Novoa, LG and Rodriquez-Carrasquel, S (1972). 'Study on the performance of para grass (*Brachiaria mutica* Stapf) and *Echinochloa polystachya* H.B.K. Hitckc.', *Agronomica Tropical*, 22: 643–55.

Pate, FM and Snyder, GH (1984). 'Effect of water table and nitrogen fertilization on tropical grasses grown on organic soil', *Tropical Grasslands*, 18: 74–78.

Perez-Infante F and Gonzales F (1985). 'Performance of different pasture species with grazing dairy cows', *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science* 19(3): 249–256.

Pheloung, PC (2001). 'Weed risk assessment for plant introductions to Australia', *Weed Risk Assessment*, Groves RH, Panetta FD and Virtue JG (eds), pp. 83–92, CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.

Pheloung, PC (1996). *CLIMATE: A system to predict the distribution of an organism based on climate preferences*, Agriculture Western Australia, Perth.

Piedade MTF, Junk WJ and Long SP (1991). 'The productivity of the C4 grass *Echinochloa polystachya* on the Amazon floodplain', Ecology 72(4): 1456–1463.

Piedade, MTF, Junk, WJ and de Mello (1992). *A floodplain grassland of the central Amazon. In: Primary Productivity of Grass Ecosystems of the Tropics and Subtropics*, Long SP, Jones MB, and Roberts MJ (eds), pp. 127–158, Chapman and Hall, London.

Piedade, MTF, Junk, WJ and Long, SP (1997). 'Nutrient dynamics of the highly productive C4 macrophyte *Echinochloa polystachya* on the Amazon floodplain', *Functional Ecology* 11, 60–65.

PIER (2006) *Echinochloa polystachya*, Pacific Island Ecosystems at Risk, www.hear.org/pier/species/echinochloa_polystachya.htm (accessed 8 May 2006).

Pompêo ML, Henry R and Moschini-Carlos V (2001). 'The water level influence on biomass of *Echinochloa polystachya* (Poaceae) in the Jurumirim Reservoir (São Paulo, Brazil)', *Revista Brasleira de Biologia* 61(1): 19–26.

Porter, PS, Snyder, GH and Deren, CW (1991). 'Flood-tolerant crops for low input sustainable agriculture in the Everglades agricultural area', *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 2(1): 77–101.

Randal, R (2006). www.hear.org/pier/wra/australia/ecpol-wra.htm (accessed 8 May 2006).

Rose, DJW (1978). 'Epidemiology of ma ize streak disease', *Annual Reviews Entomology* 23, 259–282.

Scofield, JL (1944). 'The effect of season and frequency of cutting on the productivity of various grasses under coastal conditions in northern Queensland', *Queensland Journal of Agricultural Science*, 1: 1–58.

Seddon, HR and Mulhearn, CR (1939). 'Cattle fattening in the coastal wet belt of North Queensland', *Proceedings 1939 ANZAAS Congress*.

Shepherd, RCH, Richardson, RG and Richardson, FJ (2001). *Plants of Importance to Australia: a Checklist*, 358 pp., RG and FJ Richardson, Meredith, Australia.

Skerman PJ and Riveros, F (1990). Tropical Grasses, 832 pp., FAO, Rome.

Smith, NM (1995). *Weeds of Natural Ecosystems*. A field guide to environmental weeds of the Northern Territory, Australia, Environment Centre, Darwin, Northern Territory.

Sutherst, RW, Maywald, GF, Yonow T and Stevens, PM (1998). *CLIMEX. Predicting the effects of climate on plants and animals*, User guide, CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.

Tabacchi M, Scarabel L and Sattin M (2004). *Herbicide Resistance in Italian Rice Crops: a Late-Developing but Fast-Evolving Story*, Med. Rice Conference, Torino, Italy, 13–15 February 2004.

Van Rensburg, GDJ and Kuhn, HC (1977). *Maize streak disease*, 4 pp., Dept. Agric. Tech. Serv. S. Afr. Maize Ser. No. E.3.3.

Walker, S, Wilson, B, Wu, H, Widderick, M and Taylor, I (2006). 'Weed seed persistence with changing farming practices in southern Queensland', *Proceedings of the 15th Australian Weeds Conference*, Preston C, Watts JH and Crossman ND (eds), Weed Management Society of South Australia, Adelaide.

Walton C (2005). 'Assessment of pests in Queensland', unpublished report to Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Brisbane.

Watson, SE (1986). 'The productivity of pastures on open plains and under coconuts in the Solomon Islands', *Journal of Australian Institute of Agricultural Science*, 2: 107–108.

Werth, J, Preston, C, Baker, J, Roberts, G and Taylor, I (2006). 'Predicting the rate of glyphosate resistance evolution in glyphosate tolerant cotton systems in Australia', *Proceedings of the 15th Australian Weeds Conference*, Preston C, Watts JH and Crossman ND, Weed Management Society of South Australia, Adelaide.

Wildin, JH (1988). Register of Australian Herbage Plant Cultivars A. Grasses 25. Aleman *Echinochloa polystachya* (HBK) Hitchcock (aleman grass) cv. Amity, www.pi.csiro.au/ahpc/grasses/pdf/amity.pdf (accessed 09 May 2006).

Wildin, JH (1991). Aleman Grass, Hymenachne and other forage species for ponded Pasture Systems. Probing Ponded Pastures Workshop, University of Central Queensland: Rockhampton, Queensland.

Wildin, JH and Chapman, DG (1988). *Ponded Pasture Systems—Capitalising on Available Water*, QDPI, Rockhampton, Queensland.

Wildin, JH, Kernot, J and Stockwell, T (1996). 'Overview: Ponded pasture species, establishment and management', Pittaway, PA, Wildin, JH and McDonald, CK (eds), *Beef production from ponded pastures*, Proceedings of a workshop held at Yeppoon, Queensland, April 1993, Tropical Grassland Occasional Publication No. 7, Tropical Grassland Society of Australia Inc., St. Lucia, Queensland.

Wood, C, Pittaway, P and Bahnisch, L (1996). 'Competition and establishment of aleman grass', *Proceedings of the 8th Australian Agronomy Conference*, Australian Society of Agronomy.

Work, SH 1937. Ann. Rep. Hawaii Agric. Exp. Stn, p. 77.

Yeh, MT (1978). 'Comparative yield test of para grass (*Brachiaria mutica*) strains at Au-koo tidal area', *Journal of Taiwan Livestock Research*, 11: 27–41.